

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/826,786 | 04/16/2004 | Tracee E.J. Eidenschink | 1001.2314102 | 1279 | |
| 28075 SEAGER TIII | 7590 11/09/201 FTE & WICKHEM, LL | | EXAM | UNER | |
| 1221 NICOLL | | | MATNEY, BROOKE MARIE | | |
| SUITE 800 MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55403-2420 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | -, | | 3763 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/09/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. | Applicant(s) | Office Action Summary | 10/826,786 | EIDENSCHINK ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | 3763 | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Reply | 10/826,786 | Reply | 10/826,7

| | Brooke M. Matney | 3/63 | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY! WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Inclusions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(after SX CG) MCVFTH'S from the making take of the communication. - Inclusion of the state of the communication. - Failure to reply within the act or ordanded period for reply will by statute. ca. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making de - amend painer therm disjustered. See 37 CFR 1.740(b). | E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | , | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Octo | ober 2010. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 28-33 and 36-48 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33 and 36-48</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Application No. | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SBr08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

6) Other: _____

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/826,786

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/26/2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 10/26/2010. As directed by the amendment: claim 28 has been amended and new claims 40-48 have been added. Thus, claims 28-33 and 35-48 are presently pending in the application.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,786

Art Unit: 3763

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a teminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 28 and 40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 6,746,466 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Both the patent and the instant application claim two wire members, a catheter, a wire management system having a first position where the wires are movable along an axis and second position where the wires are fixedly engaged to the wire management system, a base platform, a clasping portion (manifold engagement mechanism in instant application), and a manifold port.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brooke M. Matney whose telephone number is (571)270-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9AM-7PM, EST.

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on (571)272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brooke M Matney/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763 /Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763